| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 09/834,596 | WATANABE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Traviss C. McIntosh | 1623 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Traviss C. McIntosh</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Charlotte Thornhill. | (4) | , |
| Date of Interview: 12 April 2006 | Time: <u>12:00</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: . | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | · |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant) | Applicant's Representative Signature | gnature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants inquired about changing the title to correct a spelling error and sent me a copy of the reference which was previously filed incompletely, wherein I indicated I would consider the reference and send it on an 892 and correct the title via an examiner amendment. Any further considerations may require a filing of an RCE for consideration..